



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,333	01/23/2002	Parag M. Doshi	I-1-3-10-1	8021

7590 06/09/2006

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)
Lucent Technologies Inc.
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

EXAMINER

GREY, CHRISTOPHER P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2616

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/055,333	DOSHI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher P. Grey	2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2002.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 4-12, 15-21, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Havinis et al. (US 20030202521), hereinafter referred to as Havinis.

Claim 1, 12, 21 Havinis discloses querying a unified location management device having location information (see elements 270 and 280 in fig 1) stored therein for users of said different network protocols (see elements 200 and 100 in fig 1), said users including mobile users (paragraph 0018-0020 and see fig 2).

Havinis discloses relaying mobile user location related information from the unified location manager regarding a user of the first and second network (paragraph 0020 and 1st and 2nd networks elements 110 and 210 in fig 3).

Havinis discloses selecting a gateway based on the location information provided (paragraph 0020-0022).

Claim 4, 9, 15, 19, 24, 28 Havinis discloses the location related information being used to assign a location dependent routable temporary telephone number for use in the gateway selection (paragraph 0020 and see step 240 in fig 2).

Claim 5, 16, 25 Havinis discloses for an internet telephony call to a mobile user, the unified location manager operates as an inbound proxy for a given domain (paragraph 0020, 0021), where internet telephony is applicable within H.323.

Claim 6, 17, 26 Havinis discloses the mobile location information being able to correspond to an internet telephony user (paragraph 0009 and paragraphs 0020-0022).

Claim 7 Havinis discloses the location related information providing assignment of a GSM/UMTS temporary phone number (paragraphs 0018 and 0020).

Claim 8, 18, 27 Havinis discloses the unified location manager being operable as a home location register for cellular networks and as a user registration and address resolution device for internet telephony networks (paragraphs 0009, 0017-0022).

Claim 9 Havinis discloses an HLR and a roaming number (paragraphs 0020), where the HLR inherently assigns a care of address for a mobile user.

Claim 10, 20, 29 Havinis discloses one of the first and second networks being circuit switched and one of the first and second networks being an internet telephony network (see fig 1).

Claim 11, 30 Havinis discloses the plurality of network protocols comprising at least 2 of ANSI-41, GSM MAP, SIP, H.323 (paragraphs 0004, 0009, 0018).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 2, 3, 13, 14, 22, 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havinis (US 20030202521) in view of the admitted prior art.

Claim 2, 13, 22 Havinis discloses interworking between circuit switched networks and internet telephony and gateway selection.

Havinis does not specifically disclose optimizing gateway selection that minimizes any one of triangle routing, a PSTN call leg or an internet call leg.

The admitted prior art discloses optimizing gateway selection that minimizes any one of triangle routing, a PSTN call leg or an internet call leg (page 1 lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to optimize gateway selection by minimizing any one of triangle routing, a PSTN call leg or an internet call leg since it was known in the art that gateway selection is associated with several different policies related to the associated minimization as disclosed by the admitted prior art.

Claims 3, 14, 23 Havinis does not specifically disclose selection of the gateway being optimized by selecting a gateway that minimizes a circuit switched portion of a call.

The admitted prior art discloses the selection of the gateway being optimized by selecting a gateway that minimizes a circuit switched portion of a call (page 1 lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the gateway selection as disclosed by Havinis by minimizing the circuit switched portion of a call as disclosed by the admitted prior art.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Grey whose telephone number is (571)272-3160. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Grey
Examiner
Art Unit 2616

CL
June 5, 2006

Chau T. Nguyen
CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600